counted with a windowless flow counter. As shown in Table I, a new radioactive spot appeared which has a very low R, value (0.1) and separates cleanly from MVA (R_f 0.7). Since this compound is formed from both 1-C¹⁴ and 2-C¹⁴-labeled MVA, it must still contain the carboxyl group of MVA. When incubations were carried out with limiting amounts of 2-C14-MVA, half of the MVA added was recovered even after prolonged incubation (Table II). When the remaining MVA was eluted and re-incubated with the complete yeast system6 no squalene was formed, thus indicating that only one of the two enantiomorphs of MVA was converted into the new intermediate. When the eluted intermediate was incubated with the complete system, efficient conversion to squalene was obtained.

Examination of a chromatogram with a short wave length Mineralight revealed that the radioactive zone was free of nucleotides. The compound was thus separated from the nucleotides which remained at the origin but not from inorganic phosphate which has the same R_f value. The presence of phosphorus was established by the use of P⁸²-labeled ATP.⁸ Two samples of the compound, one containing C¹⁴ and the other P⁸², were isolated by chromatography and rechromatographed with methanol-ammonia-water.9

(8) The P32-labeled ATP was kindly prepared by Dr. Alvah H. Phillips by oxidative phosphorylation with rat liver mitochondria.

(9) R. S. Bandurski and B. Axelrod, J. Biol. Chem., 193, 405 (1951).

The C14-labeled sample gave a single spot with an $R_{\rm f}$ value of 0.75. The P³²-labeled sample gave two spots, one corresponding to inorganic phosphate, and the other to the new compound. Comparison of its electrophoretic behavior with that of ATP and ADP¹⁰ indicated that the compound is a monophosphorylated derivative of MVA. The chromatographic behavior of this compound was not changed by heating for 10 minutes at 100° with 1 NHCl or NaOH. The stability of the phosphate shows that it is not a carboxyl phosphate. The exact location of the phosphate, whether it is on C₃ or C₅, has not been ascertained.

Acknowledgments.—This work was carried out during the tenure of a scholarship from the American Cancer Society and was supported by a grant-in-aid from the National Science Foundation. The author is greatly indebted to Dr. J. M. Sprague of the Merck Sharp and Dohme Laboratories for a generous gift of samples of mevalonic acid to this laboratory. The author also wishes to thank Dr. K. Bloch and co-workers for making available their unpublished results as well as for many helpful discussions.

(10) H. Hilz and F. Lipmann, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 41, 880 (1955).

CONVERSE MEMORIAL LABORATORY HARVARD UNIVERSITY CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT CAMBRIDGE 38, MASSACHUSETTS

T. T. TCHEN

RECEIVED NOVEMBER 1, 1957

BOOK REVIEWS

Light Vegetation and Chlorophyll. By J. Terrien, G. Truffaut and J. Carles. Translated by Madge E. Thompson. Philosophical Library, Inc., 15 East 40th Street, New York 16, N. Y. 1957. 228 pp. 12.5 × 19 cm. Price, \$6.00.

This book is divided into two sections, the first based on "Lumière et Végétation" by Terrien and Truffaut, the second on "L'Énergie Chlorophyllienne" by Carles. The first section contains a thorough description of solar radiation, natural light fields and light absorption by leaves, and following this, eight chapters concerning the various effects of light on plants, including photosynthesis, phototropism and photoperiodism. The second section, by Carles, is an essay on photosynthesis which is in part a duplication of some of the material in the first section.

The authors "have tried to give the reader an idea of what is known of the relationship between light and vegetation, and in this they have succeeded fairly well. The introductory chapters are complete and contain quite a bit of useful reference material, and the chapter entitled "Photosynthesis and Photography" is a good, elementary description of

electron conduction in crystals, a subject which is currently of great interest in the field of photosynthesis.

On the other hand, since the range of topics covered is very broad, the treatment is necessarily too sketchy in some places, particularly in the chapters on photoperiodism and phototropism. Furthermore, the style is diffuse, the translation is rough in places and there are a number of errors

The major criticism of this book, however, is that it is badly out of date. This is largely due to the fact that the two original works on which it is based are six and four years old, respectively, and evidently were not rewritten before

being combined in this edition. Chiefly for this reason, the book will be of limited value to the research worker in the field, or to the chemist who is interested in a short authoritative monograph.

BIOLOGY DEPARTMENT University of Rochester ROCHESTER, NEW YORK

THOMAS PUNNETT

Amino Acid Handbook. Methods and Results of Protein mino Acid Handbook. Methods and Results of Protein Analysis. By Richard J. Block, Ph.D., Boyce Thompson Institute for Plant Research, Inc., Yonkers, New York, and Department of Biochemistry, New York Medical College, New York, with the cooperation of Kathryn W. Weiss, A.B., The Borden Company, Yonkers, New York. Charles C Thomas, 301–327 East Lawrence Avenue, Springfield, Illinois, 1956. xiii + 386 pp. 16 × 23.5 cm. Price, \$10.50.

1. This monograph has a twofold objective of describing "tried and proven examples of the three most widely used methods of amino acid analysis, i.e., by microorganisms, by methods of amino acid analysis, *i.e.*, by microorganisms, by column chromatography and by paper chromatography," in sufficient detail "without the need of recourse to the original literature," and to tabulate "the amino acid composition of proteins, biologically active polypeptides and foods."

2. The first objective is set forth in 167 pages, followed by a short chapter on Protein and Amino Acid Consumption in the United States and concluded with a 66 page bibliography.

raphy, listing approximately 1200 or more references. The reviewer feels that the first objective, though laudable is ambitious almost beyond attainment, for it is probably true that the clearest exposition of a method is usually to be found